

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKETINO.		
09/393,96	2 09/10/99	NELSON		L	103589	
- 		<u> </u>		EXAMINER		
MMC2/1			:2	TAYL OR J		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE PLC P.O. BOX 19928		÷		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A VA 22320			2876		
		•		DATE MAILED:	•	
					11/22/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

<b>—</b>			Applicant(s)	
	Application No.			
	09/393,962		NELSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
			2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Larry D Taylor	sheet with the co	orrespondence a	ddress
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover			
eriod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	Y IS SET TO EXP	PIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD TOTAL.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and period for reply will.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply within the statutory min	inimum of thirty (30) da	lys will be considered to the mailing date of the	imely. iis communication.
Status	·			
1) Responsive to communication(o)	This action is non-	-final.	tian no	to the merits is
			prosecution as	O (I) O (I) O)
2a) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayl	le, 1935 C.D. 11	, 400 0101	
of Claims				
	bdrawn from consid	deration.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/arc with	Hulawii Holli oolloo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.				
	real	uirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to:  8) Claims are subject to restriction a	and/or election requ	uli cirio.		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Experimental Papers  is large objected.	xaminer.			
9) The specification is objected to by the second 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.	ected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection filed on is/are objection filed on is/are do byection filed on is/are objection filed on is/are	onis: a)□ a	pproved b) 🗌 d	lisapproveu.	
11) The proposed drawing correction find a	v the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing control of the proposed drawing contr	<i>y</i>			
i e		1 2 <b>E</b> 11 <b>C</b> C &	119(a)-(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority und	ger 35 U.S.G. 9	( - / ( /	
a) All b) Some c) Notice on	ocuments have been	n received.	- Higgstian No	
1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	en received in Ap	opiicalion ino	
	the bilouty document	D 1- 47 9(2)		11000
annication not an	. I'm at the CPIII	ILIEU CODICO		
application from the Internal  * See the attached detailed Office action	tor a list of the cert	<sub>tv under</sub> 35 U.S.	.C. & 119(e).	
مصرف المساد المس	tor domestic priorit	y		
* See the attached detailed Office action  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim			(PTO-4	13) Paper No(s) ·
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim  Attachment(s)		18) 🔲 Intervie	(PTO-4	13) Paper No(s) · pplication (PTO-152)
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim	PTO-948)		(PTO-4	13) Paper No(s) · pplication (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev 9-00) Application/Control Number: 09/393,962

Art Unit: 2876

## DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention
- the invention.

  3. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the at least one presentation element" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - 5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin (US 4,994,987 cited by applicant), in view of Laszlo (US 5,331,547 cited by applicant).

Baldwin teaches a system and method for controlling a presentation, the system comprising: a reader 20 containing a sensor 26 that identifies an identifier 18 and a controller switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display; and a display switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display is display to the switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display is display to the switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display is display to the switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display is display to the switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display is display to the switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for producing a visual display to the switch 30; a computer device 32 that uses memory for produ

Application/Control Number: 09/393,962

Art Unit: 2876

or magnetically encoded indicia. The medium could also present the identifier as capacitive or inductive form of indicia. The sensor 26 would be of any reflective form needed to read these types of encoded information (see figures 1 and 2; col. 1, lines 17-67). Re claims 7 and 14, the display may be a projection TV, CRT, or overhead projector.

Baldwin fails to teach the identifier as specifically having a presentation element and a control element, the controller selecting a presentation element and affecting the presentation element according the control identifier.

Laszlo teaches a system that reads a bar code from a document, the bar code containing object identifiers. If the identifier is read as a document presentation element, databearing objects are retrieved and possibly outputted to a display screen. If the identifier is read as a control element, graphical user interfaces are manipulated, permitting such data-bearing objects to be acted upon, such as opening and closing information on software or screen or moving information across a screen or file (see col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the specifications of Laszlo with the system and method of Baldwin. Providing an automated method of accessing documented information and controlled display of such information gives the user much convenience in presenting data to an audience. It would help prevent the user from performing erroneous feats, such as placing the wrong data slide within an overhead projector or losing your place while giving a presentation, these things providing embarrassment and disrupting the flow of presenting.

6. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin as modified Baldwin (as cited above), and further in view of Baldwin (5,001,696 cited by applicant). The teachings of Baldwin (Patent '987) as modified by Laszlo have been discussed above.



Application/Control Number: 09/393,962

Art Unit: 2876

However not specified is the actual system of generating the tangible, physical objects containing the identifiers.

Baldwin (Patent '696) provides such a system 40 for producing physical cards and labels for controlling a presentation, the system comprising a generator 44 and memory device 46. The generator produces the card or label to contain an identifier on the card or label, taking the form of electronic, optical, or magnetic indicia.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the system of Baldwin ('696), as such a system would provide a means for automatically accessing documented information and controlling display of such information, thereby giving the user much convenience in presenting data to an audience. The tangible card/labels used in the system of Baldwin ('987) as modified by Laszlo would help prevent the user from performing erroneous feats, such as placing the wrong data slide within an overhead projector or losing your place while giving a presentation, these things providing embarrassment and disrupting the flow of presenting.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Garnier (US 5,978,777) and Yanagawa et al. (JP 411052901A) teach systems that read indicia pertaining to products and presents audio and/or video displays as a result.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry D Taylor whose telephone number is (703) 306-5867. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2876

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jany D. J. L.

Larry D Taylor

November 18, 2000

KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINER